

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS' Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/519,136	03/06/2000	Jim B. Estipona	042390.P8359	7704
75	90 07/08/2002			
Charles A Mirho			EXAMINER	
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025		•	TRAN, MY	YLINH T
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
		DATE MAILED: 07/08/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/519,136	ESTIPONA, JIM B.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mylinh T Tran	2174				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 N</u>	Aarah 2000					
اکرا (2a		is action is non-final.					
3)□	, —		neacution as to the marits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank et al [US. 6,384,840] in view of Rybczynski [US. 6,348,953].

As to claims 1 and 7, Frank et al. discloses creating a first window to receive video which at least partially overlaps a second window on a region of overlap of a display (figure 8, column 9, lines 47-62) and configuring the second window to draw after the first window (column 5, lines 53-67). The difference between Frank et al. and the claim are the setting the pixels of the first window to a chroma color and setting background pixels of the second window in the region of overlap to the chroma color. Rybczynski shows the setting the pixels of the first window to a chroma color and setting background pixels of the second window in the region of overlap to the chroma color (column 7, lines 50-67 and column 8, lines 25-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Frank et al. and Rybczynski before them at the time the invention was made to modify the overlaying windows taught by Frank et al. to include the setting pixel of Rybczynski, with the motivation being to

Art Unit: 2174

combine a foreground image and a background image to give a composite image with a natural optical appearance as taught by Rybczynski.

As to claims 2 and 8, Frank et al. also discloses configuring the first and second windows as children of a common parent window (figure 7, column 8, lines 45-53).

As to claims 3, 6, 9, 12 and 14, Frank et al. teaches configuring the second window to receive user interface events (column 7, lines 60-67).

As to claims 4, 10 and 15, Frank et al. also teaches setting the style of the second window to transparent (column 8, lines 33-43).

As to claims 5, 11 and 13, the claim is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 except for configuring the first and second windows to move correspondingly to one another and the drawing and the rendering step. While Frank et al. shows the configuring the first and second windows (column 6, lines 30-50), Rybczynski teaches draw first with a chroma color and then draw with other colors representing window elements (column 8, lines 25-40) and rendering video only area of the region of overlap which have the chroma color (video screen is type of window) (column 2, lines 35-60).

Conclusion

Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires fax a response, (703) 746-7238), may be used for formal After Final communications, (703) 746-7239 for Official communications, or (703) 746-7240 for Non-Official or

Art Unit: 2174

draft communications. NOTE, A Request for Continuation (Rule 60 or 62) cannot be faxed.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for information facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8.00AM to 6.30PM

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner 's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640,

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Art Unit: 2174

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

Mylinh Tran

Art Unit 2174

KRISTINE KINCAID SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Vistine Kincaid